Property Outline

I. Lytton Exam Hints
   1. Texas law
   2. Do not recite facts
   3. To the point not much elaboration
   4. Best Outline is Table of Contents
   5. How are decisions made, what were the rules?
   6. Exam based on class notes

II. Personal Property
    Where does your right to claim property begin?
    A. Chattel (personal property)
       1. moveable property
       2. tangible property (capable of being possessed)
       3. can be apprehended for own senses (pen)
    B. Corporeal
       1. body, substance
       2. tangible
       3. feeling involved
    C. Intangible
       1. in itself it has no value, but actually does... stocks
    D. Intellectual Property (Incorporeal)
       1. patents
       2. copyrights

III. Capture
    1. comes into world free of ownership
    2. first in time of ownership
    3. first in time first in right
       ***Cardinal rule of Property***
    4. Feræ Naturæ
       a. wild animal is free agent.. reduces wild animal to capture=possession

Case Note: Pierson v. Post
   a. Case of first impression.. ruled that once wild animal is captured
      it is possessed.
   b. Dissent claimed mere pursuit was enough.. problem?
      1. where would you draw that line?
   c. capture reduces variables and confusion

***Objective standard v. Subjective standard***
   A. Objective
      1. B certainty in rule..
      2. B reduces variables
      1. D so certain it becomes absent of the gray area
2. D does not address circumstances

B. Subjective
   1. B considers circumstance
   2. B leads to new law
   1. D leads to confusion as to where to draw line
   2. too many factors to be considered

***Possession***

A. Actual Possession is with you
B. Constructive Possession is not with you
   1. lawn mower in shed
   2. fox on your land

B. Exceptions to capture - if you...
   1. reasonable test about true ownership
      a. rare animal?
      b. would someone reasonably own this animal?
   2. where captured...
      a. whose land
      b. trespasser?
      c. was there permission?

Case Note.. Stephens v. Albers "Divest the Right to Animal" "Intent to Return" Put Flexibility in Capture Rule
P put time and effort in keeping of fox, known fox breeder in area, contributes to society and market; those factors eliminated capture claim by D who killed and sold fox

C. Location
   1. if party has permission = generally property rights
   2. if party is trespasser = no property rights
   3. if two parties have permission PvP holds CAPTURE

Case Note.. State v. Shaw "fish net"
   1. Ruled that fish in net were captured despite a way for fish to swim out of net.
   2. "Absolute Security was not a must for property rights"

D. Rule of Capture with moveable property.. Oil and Gas
   1. old days you pump it you possess it
   2. now regulated by state and fed. agencies to enforce pro rate share
      a. to protect oil and gas rights
      b. interest in public policy
      c. Real Property in ground
      d. Personal Property once drilled

II

Finders .. person who finds chattel and intends to take possession of it
A. Where does property right start?
B. Virtue of possession
   1. taking something and making it a tangible piece of property under his possession
C. Transfer of Title

D. Law protects in order to give incentive to acquire property.

***Rule of Finders***

Person who finds rules over all in the world except the true owner

***Case Note***: Armory v. Delany, Ganter v. Kapiloff (little boy finds jewel and shop owner keeps it claiming his rights to ownership are the same as boys. wrong)

E. Sequence of Finders

1. Against subsequent possessor, each prior possessor is true owner

F. Trespass does not give claim to ownership (Fav. v. Miller ... King George Statue)

G. Property Found Classifications

1. Lost Property: involuntary parting, no intent to part with ownership of property. Finder usually prevails.
2. Abandoned Property: voluntarily leaves property with no intent to return for property. Finder usually prevails.
3. Misplaced Property: intentionally places object somewhere and forgets where he put it. Short Term Memory Classification (Locus in Quo prevails).
   a. Why?
      1. protects true owners rights
      2. in respect for true owners return for property
4. Treasure Trove: found buried in earth. Not in Texas. Considered... Favorable

***Case Note***: Schely v. Couch (Texas Case)

1. money found buried on property where ind. was working for property owner of land not money
2. ruled that no treasure only mislaid = locus in quo not finder

5. Charter 72 of Property Code

1. makes abandoned property subject to statute of possession
   a. Presumption of abandonment after 3 years
   b. holder must report to state
   c. after 1 year state gets possession

H. Flaws of Classification

1. all based on subjective intent of true owner. Why is the property where it is?

EX: Find wallet on floor in St. Mary's

1. mislaid = property of St. Mary's?
2. lost = true owner
3. abandoned = finder

***Scope of employment can determine rights of finders in situations where property is found on employers property by an employee

IV.

Discovery

***Persons right of ownership can rise no higher than the parties right who transferred it***

(you can carry less, but never more)
1. **Case Note... McIntosh v. Johnson**
   a. Indians had possession not ownership.
   b. A buys land from Indians and his right is not ever higher than Indians rights.
   c. this made A a possessor not an owner.

2. You cannot have property rights (Indians) until property actually existed.

3. How else could we justify claiming land over Indians
   a. by agreement or
   b. by force

V. **Creation**

What kind of property is created and when is it who claims ownership?

A. News of the Day (L~"U economically)

***Case note.. Ass. Press v. Int. News***

1. What gives rights to news of day (not totally, but should be weighed)
   a. money spent to obtain it
   b. time to produce it
   c. effort to make and distribute news

2. EQUITY... What is fair.. must have protection to news agencies for their efforts and labor.

***Once news is published and available for public to read and distribute property is abandoned but can still have copyright restrictions***

**Case note.. Davis v. Davis**

1. embryos possession when parents break up.. who gets possession
2. embryos are property
3. how to decide
   a. preferences of parties involved
   b. intentions for future
   c. pro creation outweighs veto power
   d. individual circumstances in case
   e. quasi property (seems like it is property and it is your property)

VI. **Possession by Gift**

   a. money spent to obtain it
   b. time to produce it
   c. effort to make and distribute news

   2. EQUITY... What is fair.. must have protection to news agencies for their efforts and labor.

***Once news is published and available for public to read and distribute property is abandoned but can still have copyright restrictions***

**Case note.. Davis v. Davis**

1. embryos possession when parents break up.. who gets possession
2. embryos are property
3. how to decide
   a. preferences of parties involved
   b. intentions for future
   c. pro creation outweighs veto power
   d. individual circumstances in case
   e. quasi property (seems like it is property and it is your property)

VI. **Possession by Gift**

   1. volunteered and gratuitous - without expectation of return
      a. must be complete IDA (intent, del. accept)
      b. not complete until delivery is complete

   2. causa mortis- gift given in anticipation of donors death.
      a. must be complete IDA (intent, del. accept)
      b. not complete until delivery is complete

A. Types of Gifts

1. inter-vivos- gift within the life of the donor (irrevocable)
   a. must be complete IDA (intent, del. accept)
   b. not complete until delivery is complete

2. causa mortis- gift given in anticipation of donors death.
   a. must be complete IDA (intent, del. accept)
   b. not complete until delivery is complete
a. must die from experience that he thought death was imminent or he can take back

3. will does not invalidate causa mortis gift

B. Elements of Gift
   1. Intention to give gift
   2. Delivery of gift (most difficult to determine)
      a. once delivery is determined, intent and acceptance are clearer
   3. Acceptance

*** Family matters are more difficult to prove and separate delivery...
   Families are natural desire and object of affection

C. Types of Delivery (must be complete delivery)
   1. Constructive- donor gives up access to article that is given.
      a. Relinquish dominion and control
      ex. key to lockerbox( only if there is only one key)
      b. not always held as true delivery.. provide evidence of intent
   2. Symbolic- an object given as representation of the gift to be given
      a. man gives wife shares of company by writing on paper
      b. more effective if actual delivery is beyond reason
      c. no other way to transfer property
   3. Actual Delivery- actually given to person
      a. lessens questions on delivery issue

*** Case Note... Gruen v. Gruen (step mother and keeping of painting from son)
   1. Major characteristic of life estate is “right of possession”
   2. symbolic letter represents painting
   3. possession postponed until dad’s death
   4. court rules son has ownership despite lack of possession

*** Case Note... Wells v. Sansing (little leslie gets key to box in bank and bank refuses to give her possession)
   1. no possession for little leslie no “present delivery”
   2. donor never completely relinquished dominion and control
   3. donor could have reasonably completed delivery but never did 
      a. must protect rights of donor as well
      b. key could be stolen

D. Summary of Gifts
   1. must be complete
   2. must relinquish dominion and control
   3. causa mortis- going to die
   4. inter-vivos- still living
   5. agent can be instructed in gift exchange
      1. Abernathy v. State- instructs 3rd party to give gift to 2nd party before 1st parties death –
      2. circumstances in case can persuade courts to rule in certain directions regarding intent of donor
   7. Once gift is completed a will has no power in relation to that property
VII.
Chapter 2
Rights
A. transfer of property
B. color of title
1. the person with the strongest title gains possession rights / ownership
2. person stands on the merits of his title
   a. Trespass to Title Test
      1. trace ownership back to sovereignty
      2. trace back to common source (family heir of prop)
      3. adverse possession
      4. prior possession

***Case Note. Tapscott v. Cobbs- person with no title who possesses land does not own land. If no title between parties use trespass to title formula
3. Adverse Possession statute
   a. taking possession when title is in possession of someone else
   b. based on Trespass to Title Test

VIII.
Powers of possession
A. to encourage economic flow of trade
B. to protect individual who buys something in good faith and knowledge
   1. Equitable Estoppel
      individual that acts on deceptions of another and proceeds to take possession of property can be ESTOPPED from ownership of property
   2. Statutory Estoppel
      individual that is selling property is not in the business of that property that agreement can be ESTOPPED
      a. selling a painting better be in paint selling business
      b. good faith

***Case Note Porter v. Wertz
1. statutory and equitable estoppel in effect
2. STAT...seller was in food business not painting business
3. EQUIT...buyers bought painting without looking into purchase. they acted on the deceptions of another
4. court rules possession back to true owners

***Uniform Commercial Code
1. does not protect any property transaction that based on bad faith or deceptive practices
2. it does protect good faith purchases
   ex. take watch to get fixed and store then sells your watch to A. A has a protected interest in watch since he bought in "good faith"
IX. Obligations

A. Bailments
- The right of possession by the person of the goods continues.

1. the delivery of goods to someone for an intended purpose
   a. bailee- person in possession of personal property
   b. bailor- person who gives possession to bailee

2. normally bailment is consented, but law will sometimes uphold no
   consent bailments

B. Responsibility of Bailee and Classifications

1. Ordinary care and Reasonable care (Ordinary)
   a. benefits both the bailee and bailor

2. Must be grossly negligent to be held responsible
   a. when benefit is only for bailor (Ordinary)
   b. ex. neighbor keeping your belongings so they won't get stolen

3. Extraordinary care (Ordinary)
   a. benefit for sole purpose of bailee
   b. ex. neighbor borrows something from you

**Practical Working Rule**
1. Bailee is in better position to have knowledge of what happened
   to the goods in question.

**Case Note: Peet v. Roth Hotel**
1. lady leaves ring with desk clerk to give to another guest and places it on
   desk and ring disappears.
2. ruled there are benefits for both hotel and lady with bailment
3. ordinary care and reasonable care is standard
4. not reasonable to place ring there.. hotel liable

C. Burden of Proof

1. prima facie case- once P establishes bailment, (often presumed) the
   burden of proof to disprove negligence or claimed care for property
   shifts to defense
   a. if D does not refute evidence of prima he loses
   b. bailment was active, delivered, and damaged in bailee's
      possession

2. practical working rule- bailee is in better position to have knowledge of
   what happened to the property
   a. bailment was active
   b. property delivered
   c. property damaged or disappeared while in bailee's possession

X. True Ownership and the subject of Bailment

A. Bailment is relationship between true owner and possessor
B. Transfer possession by mutual consent
C. Once you take possession of something you make a decision to be a
   bailee for true owner
B. Fuedal Characteristics

1. influence of Norman Invasions… took place in 1066… affected property law directly. What was the King going to do with all the land he conquered?
   a. parcel the land out to his buddies (the Vassels)
   b. subinfeudate land to next vassel (a megne lord)
   c. land goes down further (a tenant in a megne)
      1. they are the guys that have possession of the land, but no ownership
      2. they run land for the Vassel who received land from the Lord

C. Seisen of the Land

1. service in exchange for the land
   a. King is owner of the land, and for its use you must provide a service to him

D. Relationship between the Lord and the Vassel was called a “Free Tenure”

a. that where the term Free Hold comes from

E. Services of land (obligations created by land possession)

1. Military
   a. Knight service…. provide military help when called upon in exchange for possession

2. Non Military “Socage”
   a. provide agricultural service in exchange for possession

3. Frankelmin
   a. provide ministerial services to community in exchange for possession

*** Incidence of Tenure. Equates with the Lord’s Right

F. Blackstones tenure incidents (7)

1. reliefs
2. escheats
3. wardships
4. marriage
5. primer “seisen”
6. alienation
7. aids

*** Problems with system. requirements of services was declining but tenure was still in effect.

EX. Daddy dies and you want to succeed on his property

1. pay relief to King to get possession
2. King is Primer Seisen
   a. entitled to first possession over everybody else

G. Marriage and Wardship

a. minors left after daddy dies (male under 21: female under 14)

b. lord has obligation to the minors as the Lords ward

c. lord gets all rights until minors are no longer minors
XIII.

d. lord has right to arrange marriage

e. lord keeps all profits from arranged marriage

f. if ward refuses on marriage, lord has right to the value of the marriage
   1. the land would be forfeited

H. Freehold Estate

a. eliminates act of free tenure

b. a possessory of state in which the free holder would be vassel on the tenant
   1. entitled to poss. Subject to services and obligations particular to tenure

TYPES OF ESTATES

Estate in general

1. not the land itself, but a relationship to the land, a relationship that the law lets someone bear the land his right to hold or possess.

Measure an estate in relation to the land

1. what is degree
2. what is quantity of that right (how much)
3. how long is relationship (duration)
4. what is nature of the relationship
5. what is the extent of the relationship

A. FEE SIMPLE ABSOLUTE

1. the ultimate relationship
2. indefinite
3. all rights with respect to property
4. only estate that does not, can not, and will never have future interest.
5. all the sticks in the bundle “right now”
   a. old days words “to heirs” were important
   b. modern times “to heirs” is meaningless
      1. unless words of limitations (FSD)
6. fee simple absolute last forever
   1. parties can change through transfer(sell) or death by will
7. mathematics of fee simple absolute
   1. if you add up all the estates in any particular piece of land, the sum of the parts must equal Fee Simple Absolute
   2. \( \text{Est}(p1) + \text{Est}(p2) + \text{Est}(p3) + \text{Est}(p4) = \text{FSA} \)
   3. all estates are carved out of FSA

***Case note... White v. Brown

T gives to O “my house to live in and not to be sold”

T dies intestate leaving holographic will

1. court looks at meaning of words. her intentions
2. difficult to interpret a persons true intentions
3. words do not specifically say what she wants
   1. life estate? Over upon death
   2. fees simple absolute. Indefinite
I Classification of Future Interests in Transferees

A. Remainder Characteristics
   1. must be capable of possessory upon termination of preceding estate to qualify as remainder
   2. created simultaneously with the creation of a present possessory estate
   3. must vest in right when LE is created (VR)
   4. can vest during or immediately at the end of the LE

B. Two types of Remainders
   1. Contingent
   2. Vested

   Condition Precedent v. Condition Subsequent

   Condition Precedent (CP) - interest is subject to CP when the effected party is entitled to the right of PO when condition takes place

   VESTED ABSOLUTE automatic PO b/c the only event necessary that LE ends
   a. vested remainder (indefeasible vested remainder)...becomes immediately possessory w/o being cut short or made smaller
      1. in all events the interest will become possessory, albeit sometime in the future.
      2. no condition precedents needed
      3. it is alienable, devisable and descendable
         EX. O ----- A for life then to B all B needs to do is wait for A’s death B’s interest is Absolutely Vested Remainder
   b. VESTED IN PARTIAL DIVESTMENT (subject to open)(look for rule of Perp)
      1. can be made smaller upon the occurrence of an event
         Ex. O to A for life, then A’s children the more children the smaller each estate will become
         2. remainder limited in favor of a class (group of persons collectively described)
            a. class gift is vested as long as:
               1. at least one living member of class
               2. there are no unmet conditions precedent attached to the gift
            b. subject to open if new persons can join class
            3. it is alienable, defeasible and descendable
               EX. O ----- A for life and upon A’s death to B’s children (C-1 C-2) (closed when A or B dies, open as long as B is alive)
   c. VESTED TO COMPLETE DIVESTMENT
      1. can be cut short by the occurrence of a CS which denies the holder the right to PO and shifts the VI and poss. to someone else.
2. alienable, devisable and descendable unless the interest is subject to an express or implied condition of survivorship

EX. O ----> A for life, then to B; but if B dies w/out surviving children, then to C.
if B dies w/out children, B is cut completely out and it goes to C.

a. C is has a shifting executory interest in FSA

2. Contingent-

1. may or may not become possessory
   a. PO to an unborn person
   b. PO to an ascertained person
   c. to a person who is either born or ascertained but whose interest is subject to the occurrence or nonoccurrence of a condition precedent

2. Condition precedent.. entitled to PO upon the occurrence of a specified event but not necessarily ownership
   EX. O ----> A for life then to B, if B survives A
   EX. O to A for life, then to B, if B attains the age of 21
   a. B has a contingent remainder in both examples

3. Condition Subsequent... the denial of the right to PO if specified event occurs and the right to future interest or present PO is passed to another

C. Executory Interests (The executioner.. abrupt possession)

1. Springing--- future interest waiting to possess by springing from O to B upon the occurrence of an event
   Look for the Gap in time. It must go back to O first, then back to another party.. it will spring
   EX. O ----> A for life, then one year later, to B
   EX. O ----> A "upon her marriage"
   ***O = reversion in FS subject to Sp.EI
   ***A = LE (life estate)
   ***B = Springing EI (executory interest) in FSA

2. Shifting--- future interest because of which the right to PO will shift from one person to another (look for vio. of rule of perp.)
   EX. O ----> A ; but if B returns from the war, then to B and his heirs
   *** O= nothing
   *** A= FS subject to EL
   *** B= Shifting EI in FSA

EX. O to A for life, then to B; but if the property is not used as a church, then to C
*** O = N
*** A= LE

P.S. A = LE (life estate)
D. based on fact that you never truly have title because true owner is out there

XI. Responsibilities and Obligations

1. for damage to found goods in your possession (gross negligence)
   a. Case Note, Joy v. Crawford. chipped diamonds in ring before returning to true owner

2. for loss of goods when reasonable care is not taken
   a. Case Note, Hilton Case. busboy finds purse in hotel rest., gives to cashier, and cashier gives to wrong person
   b. bailment established for both benefits “Mutual Bailment”
   c. court ruled that due to ritzy hotel it was reasonable for there to $13,000 in jewels in purse. Hotel liable

3. responsible for not only lost property for which he has knowledge, but also for property which he could reasonably expect to find contained in bailed property

4. parking lot liabilities
   1. ticket disclaimer does not eliminate liability
   2. circumstance weigh heavy on liability
   3. personal service compared to ticket dispenser
   4. notification of disclaimers
   5. communication between parties

   ***Case Notes. McAshen v. Cavitt, Ampco v. Williams, (lack of knowledge of contents in trunk.. not reasonable not liable)

Mcashen v. Cavitt
   a. P leaves car at lot and it is stolen when she returns
   b. Disclaimer and sign posted says no liability
   c. Attendant never brought disclaimer or closing time to P attention
   d. Court rules that D is liable due to lack of communication between P and D

*** Majority states that degree of care is based on how you classify bailment.. who is getting the benefit?

XII. Foundation of Estates

A. System of Landowner

1. very personal relationship between the Lord and the person under the Lord. (the subjects of the Lord).
   a. Vassal- good position to be in.. you choose the Lord and the Lord chooses you.
      1. Holy voluntary thing
      2. It becomes a sacred bond
      3. Receive benefits from Lord, and vassel would agree to provide services for life to Lord “swear fuedal”
2. only to inter vivos conveyances
3. O to A for life, then to O's heirs (O is still alive)
   a. DWT tells us that O's heirs don't have a contingent remainder
   b. the attempt of O to create a contingent remainder while he is alive is void
   c. we are left with a life estate for A and a reversion for O
   d. this doctrine survive b/c it is a rule of construction not law
   e. satisfies grantors intentions to provide for heirs

V. Rule of Perpetuities (deals with future interest only)
   An interest is invalid, if there is any possibility that it might remain contingent for a period longer than lives in being (at the time interest is created) + 21 yrs + 9 months
   a. look for in shifting and springing executory interests
   b. must vest or fail in 21 years of life in being's death
   c. any and all possibilities should be considered
   d. rule of convenience is a rule of construction not construction
      1. a person can give birth until death
      e. Never applies to Poss. of Reverter, Right of reentry, Reversions
      f. does not apply to vested remainders except subject to open
         *** Cy Pres***
         a. allows court to alter a will to avoid violation of rule
         b. exist in most states including Texas
   g. effects contingent remainders, exec. interests, (open classes)
   h. life in being, openness is not life in being
      1. the person in estate that makes everything matter
      2. nothing needs to be considered until ??? dies
      3. at that time we must be certain that within 21 years + 9 months that future interest in question will either vest or not vest
   EX. O to A for life, then to A's children who reach age 30

VI. Womb Rule
   1. + 9 months
   2. time of this could be a question

VII. Merger Doctrine
   a. If O conveys to A and remainder to A's children, the conveyences merge
      1. unless there is an intervening estate
         a. will not destroy a contingent remainder

Three steps in working Future Interests Problems
1. Determine what interests are created A?, B?, C?
2. Apply rule of Perp
   a. lives in being
   b. ultimate takers vesting in 21 yrs + 9 months???
      1. consider all possibilities
XVIII.
Fee Simple Determinable
   A. automatic cease of possession once condition is broken
   B. words to look for
      1. as long as
      2. while
      3. during
      4. until
Condition Subsequent
   A. possession does not cease until action is taken to reenter property
   B. words to look for
      1. but if
      2. provided that
      3. on condition that
*** you get a reverter by the words of grant and not by using revert.
B. S/L is never tolled if P becomes disabled after the property is adversely possessed.
C. S/L is tolled until disability is removed.

Color of Title and Constructive Adverse Possession:
1. A title which is in some way defective
   a. The instrument is defective or there is a chink in the chain of title
2. Some extraneous fact that appears to support a claim of title but in reality, it falls short because of some defect
3. Enters into possession under appearance of ownership
   Ex. AP holds PO of BA to the boundaries described by the instrument, which is defective. The AP has PO of the conveyor is not w/ the original PO of the conveyor.

Benefits of Constructive
1. Statutory period for acquiring title is shortened when there is no color of title?
2. If possessor entered under an instrument that described a larger tract of land than the possessor actually possessed, actual PO of only part of the land may act as constructive AP of the whole.

Exceptions
1. In a contest b/n AP, neither may invoke constructive PO against the other
   a. They are confined to the land they are in PO of
2. If the TO occupies a misdeed tract, constructive PO does not enter into the picture. To occupy a misdeed land.
3. Contiguous and non-contiguous tracts
   a. If the instrument describes contiguous tracts, PO extends to both of them
   b. Actual PO does not extend to non-contiguous tract (T1 to T3 no go)
   c. Does not extend to tracts not occupied
4. If there are two separate owners of contiguous tracts, constructive PO can not be used.
   a. Contiguous tracts must have same owner
   b. If different owners, AP can not constructively possess.

Innocent Improver
1. The ct. will grant equitable relief for ind. who makes improvements to the land who in good faith believed it to be his own.
2. If the AP makes improvements on BA which increase the value of the property, he is entitled to compensation for it.
   a. Owner can buy improvements from improver
   b. AP buys the land
   c. TO and AP become tenants in common of disputed land.

Case Note: Carpenter
   Ct ruled you acted in bad faith in taking land so therefore you cannot claim title to it, however, you can be compensated for improvements.
A. 3 year
   1. only s/l which requires the AP to have a regular chain of title back to the sovereign
   2. a consecutive chain of transfers which are not regular or are defective
      a. can not be the result of dishonesty
      b. AP must produce documents going back to the sovereign that he has title

B. 5 year
   1. not required to produce a chain of title
   2. required to pay taxes and be the claimant under the deed.

C. 10 year
   1. Squatter statute
      2. limited to 160 acres unless he has a written document fixing the boundary elsewhere for more acreage
      3. must meet other requirement of AP

D. 25 year
   1. Requires AP be in good faith
   2. Permits AP in the event the TO is under a disability not arising the AP
   3. Cannot claim disability after the s/l has run

The heart of AP is notice. The AP is so open and notorious that it is obvious

Adverse Possession of Chattels
A. Elements
   1. Same as with land (exclusive, open, and continuously)
   2. act to put the TO on notice of the AP
      a. problem is that chattel isn’t always out in the open

B. The cause of action accrues when chattel becomes adversely possessed
   1. S/L begins at the accrual of cause of action
   2. when you have a right to recover the chattel (you demand and they refuse).
      a. this is known as the demand and refusal rule

C. Discovery Doctrine
   1. If the TO diligently seeks the chattel or uses reasonable efforts to report the lost chattel, then he preserves his right to title
   2. shifts the burden of proof to the TO to prove that he acted with due diligence
   3. mitigates against the harshness where the TO did not know of the accrual of cause of action
   4. statute begins to run when the P discovers or reasonably should have discovered the missing chattel
      a. known as the discovery rule.

Case Note Gudadine v. Snyder
P sued to recover painting which had been stolen many years prior
Ct. held she did not act with due diligence to locate the chattels b/c she did not report them missing for 15 years, therefore not entitled to recover them

**Case Note DeWeerth v. Baldinger**

P sued to recover painting who bought it from art dealer. Painting had been stolen during WWII and P had reported it missing to many places. Ct. held that she acted with due diligence, therefore she was entitled to the painting

**Class note on case GOOD EXAM QUESTION**

Good argument for UCC and equitable estoppel. D bought painting in good faith from a dealer in the painting business. The UCC should estoppe the true owners from having a claim against the painting.

**Adverse Possession Against the Government**

A. s/l never runs against the government
B. you can never adversely possess lands which are designated as public lands

In Texas, two year statute Sec. 16.003. Discovery rule does apply in TX, on claims of conversion.

**Property and Co-habitants**

A. Common law was that when husband and wife joined together, when 1 died a survivorship to the other was granted
   1. interest that wife had when husband died was a DOWER
   2. interest that husband had when wife died was a Courtesy
      a. always favored the husband’s interest
Legal unity b/t husband and wife did not exist it was tenancy in common

**Case Note.. Sawada v. Endo**

conveyed land to children after hubby was in accident. did it to prevent creditors from taking it away b/c no accident insurance. husband then died

**If you know you are going to lose a suit and you convey land to avoid repo. that is fraud and you could be held liable for it**

Comm. Law says wife only had a right of survivorship.. on a contingent basis

Husband had possession of the estate, therefore husband could alienate to whoever

**A creditor can reach an interest that is alienable she could not alienate, so once papa died the creditors took it. if papa did not convey and he didn’t die. no creditor**

**Married Acts came into play..**

1. they now had equal poss. rights, so one spouse could not convey with out the others permission. Both would have to die

Some states have modified the common law concept to give equal poss. rights to tenancy by the entirety
Community Property Rights (8 states recognize it.. including texas)
- unmarried people can not own community property
- in Texas every piece of property is community after marriage
  .separate property.. owned before marr. and gifts and inheritance and pers. injuries
  .awards...

Art. 16 sec15

Law of city where married usually prevails
Tx. no

Non vested property is not community property and not subject to division upon divorce
  ex. pension plan not that has not yet been earned (french rule)

A contract right that has been achieved b/t employer and employee is property and
subject to community penalties
  ex. rights prior to maturity are contingent interest .. subject to division

Professional Degrees and Property
1. Majority says degree is not property and not subject to division
   a. can't give it to someone (Texas)
2. Minority. it is property and divisible
   a. cost in obtaining. detriment.. consideration.. restitution issue
   b. future earnings

Equitable Distribution. everything obtained after marriage, regardless of how obtained is
property subject to division

Lytton sum up.. We recognize that there are inequalities involved in a divorce proceeding..
there are many factors that go into the decisions involved in situations like these.. earning
capacity, etc. However, the ct. is limited to the boundaries set by the laws of this state
and the property in question, and a degree is not property divisible by comm. property.

Common Law Marriage
A. Requirements for valid Common law marriage
  1. must agree as a couple to be married
  2. must live together as husband and wife
  3. must represent to others they were married
B. Not sure about Common law divorces
  1. there is no such thing?

Unmarried Folk
1. can't claim on common or marital property, but can be supported by contractual
   rights
Definitions and Helpful hints for Life Estates

Look for the punctuation of the sentence. Not always a perfect indicator, but close to 95%. Remember, you are looking at one possible estate at a time. To A for life, then to B; but if it is used as a porno store, then to C.

Examine then to B; the semi colon is saying that something stops. VESTED
Ex. then to B, if B attains the age of 21
The comma signals a continuation towards a condition to possess. CONTINGENT

Fee simple determinable... so long as, while, during, possibility of reversion, executory interest for 3rd party. Automaticlly terminates upon the happening of some stated event

Fee simple on condition subsequent... provided that, on condition, but if, right of entry for condition broken or power of termination executory interest for 3rd party

Life estate... for life, reversion, remainders

Contingent remainders always follow contingent remainders

You can convey less than what you have, but not more than you have

A living person has no heirs

FSDPOR..Frank Sinatra Doesn’t (FSD) prefer Orville Redebacher (POR).. Fee simple determinable always accompanies possibility of reverter

Memorize Ikes chart

Learn the language
2. fees simple absolute. Indefinite

B. How to determine the intentions of deceased
   1. Four Corners Doctrine
      a. look at language of entire document
      b. look outside of will to determine meaning and intentions
      c. relationship with deceased and grantee
      d. future considerations

C. Statutory Presumptions
   1. a will conveys all of the real property unless there is a contest to the will
   2. a bequest (divide) of real estate will pass the entire estate that the grantor has
      unless passed by a lesser estate
      a. presumption is always against someone dying intestate

D. Alienation
   1. a characteristic that one who holds estate can sell it or transfer it
      a. give to someone else by gift or something
      b. creates problem with fee simple absolute
         1. by not allowing an individual to sell house it takes away the
            rights that an individual has a fee simple absolute

XIV. Terms of transfer
A. Heir- a person designated as an intestates successor as determined by statute
   1. spouse, children, parents, brothers, and sisters
   2. no heirs until you die
B. Issue- close to and often confused with heir
   1. descendants
      a. grand children
      b. great grandchildren
C. Intestate- person dies without will ... probate will determine heirs
E. Collateral- blood relatives that are neither ancestors nor descendants (not blood line)
   a. aunts and uncles
   b. cousins
   c. brothers and sisters
F. Escheats- land passed to the lord of the manor or the state if descendant has no
   heirs, ancestors, or descendants.

XV. Two ways property can pass
   1. Per Capita – equal share distributed to the # of persons that stand an equal
      degree in relationship to deceased.
   2. Per Sterpes – decendant takes an equal share that a parent would be entitled to
      if parent would still be alive
      Ex. A dies and distributes per sterpes.. A has 3 children, but C3 is dead but
      leaves children of his own.
      1. equal shares between C1, C2 and C3 children
Vested Remainders:
1. Absolutely vested (you get it all and nothing can take it away from you)
   (Other name: Indefeasibly vested remainder)
2. Vested remainder subject to complete divestment (you get it all but you can lose it all)
   (Other name: VR subject to complete defeasance)
3. Vested remainder subject to partial divestment (you get all or part and your s can get smaller)
   (Other names: VR subject to partial defeasance or VR subject to open)

Contingent Remainders:
A CR can only be followed by a CR (then called an alternative or alternate CR)

Executory Interests:
1. Springing executory interest - always come from grantor to 3rd party.
2. Shifting executory interest - never comes from the grantor (always from someone else)

Definitions:
- Fee Simple - words used when describing present possessory interests (never used w/future interest)
- Executory "Limitation" - The word "limitation" is used only when describing present possessory interest that is followed by a 3rd party executory future interest.
- Executory "Interest" - If the word "interest" is used with "executory" it only describes the 3rd party future interest (not a possessory interest)

Still left to discuss:
1. Open classes - how and when they close.
2. With remainders - how you can tell if it is a condition precedent or subsequent when it all sounds like precedent.
3. Rule of Destructibility, Rule in Shelly's Case, Doctrine of Worthier Title
4. Rule against perpetuities (Cy pres & wait & see)
5. How you can tell if it is a FSD or FSSCS.
6. Waste - Life Estates
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3. Apply any reform statutes
   a. cy pres doctrine in Texas
      1. power of court to reform conveyance to make valid

VIII. CONCURRENT ESTATES
Concurrent interests
   1. possession between two or more people
   2. equal right to possession and use in estate

Types
A. Tenency by Entirety
   1. void in Texas (20 states)
   2. between husband and wife
   3. characterized by right of survivorship
   4. husband and wife considered one entity and received the land as one
   5. only severed by divorce or a bilateral voluntary action

B. Joint Tenants with right of survivorship
   1. Four Units
      a. Time-interest acquired at same time
      b. Title-acquired rights under same title
      c. Interest-equal % of concurrent estate
      d. Possession-identical share respecting duration, quality and right
         to PO
   2. must satisfy all four units
   3. easily destroyed
   4. alienability severs it into a tenancy in common

***Right of survivorship***
   when a tenant dies that tenant's interest goes to the other people in tenancy
   * does not pass to heirs of tenant, only back to other tenants (equally)
   5. In Texas never assumed
      a. must be an expressed agreement
      b. if will does not designate right of survivorship then it is
         considered a tenancy in common
   Ex. A(1/3) B(1/3) C(1/3). C gives his 3rd to D and D gives to E
   E has right until C dies. once C dies E loses everything and it is
   E has a tenancy in common until C dies when is divided equally between the original tenants. A and B
C. Tenancy in Common
   a. interest does not have to be equal %
   b. just right to possession of estate, occupancy not necessary
   c. common and favored by statutory presumption
   d. no right of survivorship
   e. can pass to someone else and tenancy continues with others involved
IX. Rights of Co-Tenants

1. Income and expenses
   a. determined by % in interest
      1. if you own 2/3 you get 2/3 of any income derived from BA
      2. consenting co tenant can not seek reimbursement for improvements he
         made without consenting other co tenant
         1. if they improve BA co tenant can seek reimbursement only at
            time of severing tenancy.
         2. if no improvement co tenant is barred from reimbursement
            a. burden of proof of increase in BA on improver
   b. consenting co tenant can not seek reimbursement for improvements he
      made without consenting other co tenant

2. Waste
   a. liable for waste
      1. voluntary
      2. ordinary maintenance
      3. immelurative
   b. question of fact for judge or jury

3. Ousting
   a. violation of co tenants rights with direct and intended removal or denial
      of a co tenants rights to possess or preventing joint tenants from paying what the
      co tenant was entitled to use.

*** Case Note ***

Cummings v. Anderson... tenants in common
P and D purchase a house prior to marriage. P leaves D for another man
and takes all their cash and all his heart. P later come back and sues for
partition and back rent. P wanted 1/2 of any interest from purchase and
any rent for her 1/2 of the property while he was living there.

1. Ct. ruled she was not ousted (left without -of own will)
2. only entitled to % of interest that she invested
3. not entitled to rent because she left voluntarily and that he was
   not renting to someone other than himself
   a. if he was renting to a third party she would be entitled to
      only the % proportionate to her original investment
4. all monies for her must be after considering her % due to
   husband for necessary payments to continue with ownership of
   BA(house)
   a. insurance, mortgage %, etc.
   b. it is still up to P to prove if any improvements enhanced
      the value of BA. If so, he can seek reimbursement.. if not
      no reimbursement
X. How do you get out of a co-tenancy?

1. Partition - an act terminating the relationship by dividing up the property.
   a. Voluntary
      1. All co-tenants agree to end relationship
      2. Co-tenants consent and then exchange partition deeds
   b. Involuntary
      1. Absolute right to lease by any co-tenant with any % (e.g., if 1/3 has 50% and 1/8 has 50% - see)
      a. Homestead community property can't be partitioned by children to whom 1/2 the interest as long as the surviving spouse uses it as a home.

2. How it is done. Types
   1. Partition in Kind - Physical Division
      a. Court orders partition and divides among owners
      b. Divided by proportion - wise & fair
   2. Partition by Sale
      a. Court orders the sale of BA
      b. Proceeds divided proportionately
   3. Partition in Kind as closely as possible
      a. Order payment to co-tenant with greater % in BA

**** Severence of Concurrent Estates... Leases do not sever the joint tenancy
3. Mortgages and JTROS
   a. Lien Theory (Texas follows this rule) No severance JT
      1. Mortgage will not sever JT
      2. Holds only lien and not actual title
      3. Owner holds real title whereas the bank holds the mortgage
   b. Title Theory
      1. A mortgage conveys the property
      4. Owner holds an equitable title whereas the bank holds the real title, etc. in JT

*** Case Note*** Tehnet v. Boswell
D held a JT with decedent but prior to his death, he leased the land to P. P did not want to leave the premises.
1. Court ruled that right to lease died with him
2. Rule of Law
   a. JT terminates with the death of one JT and the lease dies too

*** Case Note*** Kirby Lumber Co v. Temple Lumber
Lumber was cut from from 427 acres of Temple % of land
Kirby sued for its 1/3
Ct. ruled that since Temple acted in good faith Kirby was not entitled to the enhanced value of the land

*** Rule of Law***
X Co-tenants own all property equally but profit proportionately from the entirety
Right of Survivorship extra notes
A. in Texas and in most cases the terms must be specific... very strict approach
B. survivorship is never inferred just b/c the joint tenancy exist
C. Texas will not go to grantors intentions
D. Choplin case sys you must look at probate code
   1. person with joint account dies
   2. if not expressed no right of survivorship
   3. better be expressed

Adverse Possession

*** the action of transfer of property involuntarily from one party to another.. an acquisition of property
1. Titles are not uniform
   a. they are based on statute of limitations for each state
   b. required to bring claim to regain your property that someone else has possession of by the time statute runs
2. Statute of Limitations—
   a. speaks only to true owner and not possessor
   b. starts once adverse possessor takes possession
3. The HEART of all statutes is notice
   Prior possessor prevails over subsequent possessor. Prior always wins.. can exclude all but true owner—

Adverse Possession can be used as a defense to prevent true owner from taking possession of property. Once 10 years is up ( or applicable statute of limitations) the adverse possessor goes to ct. to claim trespass to try by title.. claiming stat. of lim. has given me source of title for possession.

Elements for Adverse Posession—

actual and visible possession that is reasonable to true owner
2. open and available -
3. notorious
4. continuous possession... regular use for entire statutory period
5. hostile... can be exceptions
6. exclusive

Texas according to Prop. Code
1. Actual appropriation
2. visible appropriation
3. under a claim of right which is inconsistent and hostile to the claim of another
4. PO must be peaceable
5. must be continuous and uninterrupted

Actual, Open, Continuous, and Exclusive Requirements

A. Actual
1. must be actual and give notice to the TO of AP
2. Reasonable test?

B. Open
1. constructive knowledge is not going to bar recovery of the AP
   a. TO needs actual knowledge
2. reasonable to give TO notice of AP

(Case Note Carter)
Ct. ruled that pure belief of ownership, while all other elements were met, was sufficient to maintain possession

C. Exclusive
1. You can not claim the land when you are using it with the permission of the TO
2. if you know the land is not yours you can not claim title? AP can have no contact w/TO

D. Continuous
1. land must be held continually by the AP through the statute
2. interruption is a question of fact?
3. seasonal possession may bar recovery?
   a. what would reasonable land owner do with his land on off season

Case Note Poretto.
P operated a beach front business during warm season and rented chairs. He cleaned it and provided access to it. He never posted signs.
Ct. ruled that AP elements were not met b/c his possession was not continuous
a. cts will determine this question considering the circumstances

E. State of Mind (Hostility)
1. what is state of mind of the AP?
2. hostility goes hand in hand with claim of right
a. Claim of right Statute
   1. you must BELIEVE that you own the property and have claim to it
   2. not a NAKED SQUATTER
   3. inconsistent and hostile to a claim of another
   4. what are the actions of te AP? Reasonable test...

Key questions in Adverse Possession Case
   1. did adv. pos. act as a reasonable land owner would act?
   2. did true owner have reasonable opportunity to make a claim?
   3. would reasonable true owner have known or should of known about
      Adverse Possessor?
   4. did A.P. do things that a reasonable ordinary owner person would do to land?
      AP cant transfer, B'dy -> Sue Terki

Rules of Law
   1. a.p. gauged by actual state of mind and not BA's capabilities
   2. can be lapse of time between possession(?)
   3. a.p. must actually take possession of real property "as his own"
   4. as a general rule one can not claim a.p. on state or federal
   5. a.p. can not be claimed by ind. who knows property is not his
      ex. go over to neighbors land and build something on it.. they live out of state and come back ten years later to find you have claimed poss. by adverse. No Go -

Case Note.. Carpenter
   a. puts septic tank on corn field that is neglected by owner
   b. owner sales land after statute
   c. buyer wants her to move septic tank off property
   d. ct rules that adverse poss. cant be acquired by on ewho knows she has no title ?.

6. Good faith actions do not eliminate adverse possession based on lack of hostility
   a. either way, by good or bad faith the same result. Adverse possession can be claimed as long as other requirements are met. (do D's acts meet the necessary standard of "open and notorious" possession?
   b. intentional and knowing possession is not required for hostility

Case Note... Gorski thought land was hers

7. True owner needs and has right of opportunity to learn of A.P claim
8. acts must be so that a reasonable, ordinary, prudent person would notice actual poss. of another
9. if visible possession, true owner consents to knowledge
10. if adverse poss. is proved and awarded, true owner can be forced to convey the land... you snooze you lose...

What are the intentions of the adverse possessor????

Ex. Corp. Use - poss. is hostile over land. AP did not subsequently act to be - AS long...
XVI. Future Interests

A. a fee simple estate in which several interest are created
B. future interest are not created in the future, they are created today with terms used by grantor
C. the right of possession is just postponed to the future

*** Example ***
O to A and his heirs as long as Black Acre is operated as snake farm

THESE ARE WORDS OF LIMITATION
1. it is still a fee simple estate with the potential to be indefinite
2. almost all sticks in bundle
3. as soon as snake farm stops operating A's possession automatically stops. No reentry needed for revert back to O
4. future interests in O is called a (reverter)

*** Example ***
O to A, but in event that BA ceases to operate as a snake farm, O can reclaim
1. this is a condition on estate and once condition is broken it gives O the right to reclaim
2. when snake farm goes out of benny, A still has possession until O takes action to reclaim it,
   a. must do within the statute of limitations
   b. future interest that O creates for himself is called a "right of reentry" or "power to terminate"

*** Case Note ***
Marenholz v. County Board
O to A "for school purposes only, otherwise to revert back to O"
This is a condition subsequent and it requires that O take action within the statute of limitations to regain possession

XVII. How does court determine if condition subsequent or limitation
1. look at intention of grantor
2. words used by grantor "otherwise"
3. revert does not necessarily mean that possession ceases once condition is broken
4. take everything into consideration. Facts and circumstances
Adverse Possessor and the PO
1. AP can not transfer title to 3rd person
   a. Jus Tertii
2. Time of PO is important
3. a cause of action arises at the first moment of the AP and expires at the end of the statute
4. The rule of AP is the same between two adverse possessors
   a. if AP -1 is ousted by AP-2 and the statute runs... AP-2 has rightful PO

Plea of Limitation
1. Defensively against the TO by the AP
2. Offensively by AP as a source of title

Trespass to try Title
1. method of determining ownership, vesting and divesting of BA
2. may be brought as a cause or a defense for either party
   a. AP can bring a cause of action in try title and then plead the Statute of limitations as the source of title

Tacking
A. PO of one can be tacked on to the PO of another AP to satisfy the S/L
   1. adds the period of PO to that a prior possessor
B. The two possessors must be in pivity with each other
   1. identification of interests in two people that identifies the same legal right in real property
   2. exists when the subsequent possessor enters with the permission of the prior possessor
   3. permission may be evidenced orally or written as in a will or other conveyance
   4. must pass by mutual consent as opposed to abandonment
   5. the test of pivity turns on actual PO
   6. if AP-1 conveys BA to AP-2 in a faulty instrument, tacking may still occur because INTENT supersedes description
C. Occurs not only to aggregate the times of PO but also the TO’s causes of actions

Exceptions that toll statute of limitations  Texas 16.022
A. Disability will toll the SI if P is entitled to bring an action at the time the cause of action accrues
   1. minor w/ or w/o marriage
   2. unsound mind
   3. armed forces in time of war
      a. nothing can be tolled and protected forever now can it?
   4. Incarceration

*** B = vested remainder subject to complete divestment
*** C = shifting executory interest

3. Both Shifting and Springing are alienable, deviseable and descendable
   a. both must follow vested remainders, but are considered to be contingent

*** Case Note***

In Re Houston... children fighting over how to divide estate

**Court held it was a vested remainder subject to partial divestment based on legal and technical words used in will.
   a. Strong Dissenting Opinion... “only living people should receive benefits were testators intentions”

D. Class Gift Closing Rules

1. Physiologically- whenever the person capable of giving birth to or adopting class member dies
   Ex. O----> A for life and then to B’s children, the class gift to B’s children closes physiologically when B dies (or A dies)
2. Rules of Convienence--- a rule of judicial construction. a class close whenever any member of the class is entitled to demand possession of his or her share.
   Ex. in situation above... if both B and X, a child of B, survive A, the class closes at A’s death because X can demand possession of his share at that time ( any child. born after A’s death cannot be added)

*** once a class closes no new members can join the class***

II. Rule of Destructability

1. most states have abolished it including Texas
2. To A for life, then, if he turns 21 to B.
   a. ROD eliminates B interest if he is not 21 at A’s death
   b. Now, without rule O gets rev. and it springs to B when he is 21
   c. Does violate the rule of perf if B is not 21 at A’s death
   d. However, in Texas cy-pre will adjust to satisfy grantors intent

III. Rule in Shelly’s Case

1. abolished in most states including Texas
2. To A for life, then to A’s heirs
   a. RISC would merge them together and give A a FSA
   b. Now, A’s heirs have a contingent remainder as long as A is alive
   c. a person has no heirs( they are unascertained) until a person dies
   d. does not violate ROP

IV. Doctrine of Worthier Title

1. still in effect in most states
### IV. Estates in Land

#### FREEHOLD ESTATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRESENT INTEREST</th>
<th>WORDS TO CREATE AT COMMON LAW</th>
<th>FUTURE INTEREST IN GRANTOR</th>
<th>FUTURE INTEREST IN THIRD PERSON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Fee Simple</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Fee simple absolute</td>
<td>“And his heirs”</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Fee simple defeasible</td>
<td></td>
<td>Possibility of Reversion</td>
<td>Executory Interests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Fee simple determinable</td>
<td>“So long as”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“While”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“During”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Fee simple on (or subject to a) condition subsequent</td>
<td>“Provided that,”</td>
<td>Right of entry for condition broken or power of termination</td>
<td>Executory Interests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Fee Tail</td>
<td>“And the heirs of (his or her) body”</td>
<td>Reversion</td>
<td>Remainders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. Life Estate</td>
<td>“For Life”</td>
<td>Reversion</td>
<td>Remainders Executory Interests</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### NON-FREEHOLD ESTATES

| I. Term for Years | “For ___ years” | Reversion | Remainders Executory Interests |
| II. Tenancy at will | “At will” | Reversion | Remainders Executory Interests |
| III. Periodic Tenancy | e.g. month to month | Reversion | Remainders Executory Interests |

9. CHAPTER 4. Estates in Land and Future Interests - Introduction, P. 209-228, casebook. (NOTE: Careful review and study of the chart on P. 228 will assist you in understanding present and future estates).


20. **CHAPTER 6. Property and Cohabitants** - Introduction; Dower and Curtesy; The Property Rights of a Surviving Spouse; Tenants by the Entirety; Community Property Interests, P. 360-386, casebook.


22. **CHAPTER 7. Leasehold Estates** - Introduction; Classification of Tenancies; The Lease; Formal Requirements of a Lease; P. 423-440, casebook; In general, see Chapters 91 & 92; Tex. Prop. Code, Maloy v. Wagner, 217 S.W.2d 667 (Tex. 1949); Urban v. Crawley, 206 S.W.2d 158 (Tex.Civ.App.-Eastland, 1947) n.r.e.


26. **Interference With the Tenant's Use and Enjoyment of the Premises** (continued), P. 472-488, casebook; Miscellaneous provisions from Chapter 92, Tex. Prop. Code.

27. **Interference With the Tenant's Use and Enjoyment of the Premises** (continued), P. 488-503, casebook; Miscellaneous provisions from Chapter 92, Tex. Prop. Code.


30. Termination of Leasehold Estates and Reversion of the Premises; The Holdover Tenant, P. 551-575, casebook. (NOTE: Please omit PP 575-589 on Rent Control)
(c) The "fee simple on condition subsequent,"
(d) The "fee tail" (which was the successor to the "fee simple
conditional"), and
(e) The "life estate."

There are three types of non-freehold estates. These are:
(a) The "term for years,"
(b) The "tenancy at will," and
(c) The "periodic tenancy."

In some instances these estates could be followed by a future
interest in another person or class.

If someone has a future interest in the same property in which
someone else has a present interest (whether that present interest was
a freehold or non-freehold estate), the future interest is classified as
either a "reversionary interest", a "remainder" or an "executory inter­
est."

A reversionary interest is an interest retained by the grantor.
There are three types of reversionary interests:
(a) The "possibility of reverter,"
(b) The "right of entry for condition broken or power of termi­
nation," and
(c) The "reversion."

Remainders and executory interests are future interests that are
transferred by a grantor to a third party. There are four types of
remainders and two types of executory interests. The four types of
remainders are:
(a) The "indefeasibly vested remainder,"
(b) The "contingent remainder,"
(c) The "vested remainder subject to open (or partial divestment),"
and
(d) The "vested remainder subject to complete divestment."

The two types of executory interests are:
(a) The "shifting executory interest," and
(b) The "springing executory interest."

Executory interests were not recognized as valid common law
estates until after the enactment of the Statute of Uses, 27 Hen. 8, c. 10
(1535), which became effective in 1536, although they were recognized
earlier as valid estates in the courts of equity.

It is ironic that the states adhere to the classification of estates as
developed at common law whereas in England today only the fee simple
absolute and the term for years may be held as legal estates while the
other estates are valid only if held as equitable estates in trust. See

The following chart highlights these present and future estates:
### ESTATES IN LAND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present Interest</th>
<th>Words to Create Future Interest at Common Law</th>
<th>Future Interest In Grantor</th>
<th>Future Interest In Third Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FREEHOLD ESTATES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee simple absolute</td>
<td>&quot;and his heirs&quot; or &quot;heirs&quot;</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee simple determinable</td>
<td>&quot;so long as,&quot; or &quot;while&quot;</td>
<td>Possibility of Reverter</td>
<td>Executory Interests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee simple on (or subject to a) condition subsequent</td>
<td>&quot;provided that,&quot; or &quot;on condition&quot; or &quot;but if&quot;</td>
<td>Right of entry for condition broken or power of termination</td>
<td>Executory Interests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee tail</td>
<td>&quot;and the heirs of (his or her) body&quot;</td>
<td>Reversion</td>
<td>Remainders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life estate</td>
<td>&quot;for life&quot;</td>
<td>Reversion</td>
<td>Remainders Executive Interests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NON-FREEHOLD ESTATES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term for years</td>
<td>&quot;for ___ years&quot;</td>
<td>Reversion</td>
<td>Remainders Executive Interests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenancy at will</td>
<td>&quot;at will&quot;</td>
<td>Reversion</td>
<td>Remainders Executive Interests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodic tenancy</td>
<td>e.g., month to month</td>
<td>Reversion</td>
<td>Remainders Executive Interests</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

§ 4.2 THE FEE SIMPLE ABSOLUTE

**WHITE v. BROWN**

Supreme Court of Tennessee (1977).

559 S.W.2d 938.

BROCK, JUSTICE.

This is a suit for the construction of a will. The Chancellor held that the will passed a life estate, but not the remainder, in certain

5. Entries expressed in the plural indicate that more than one type of the named interest may be created.

6. Prior to 1285 words that created a fee tail created a "fee simple conditional". The grantor’s estate was called a possibility of reverter.
Future Interest Problems

Directions: In each of the following problems, state the title of each party immediately after the transfer becomes effective. Assume that immediately prior to the transfer, “O”, the grantor-transferor, holds title in Fee Simple Absolute. Assume further, that all transfers are modern and valid at the time made and not subject to failure. Be sure to include “O” when you state the interests of the parties.

O CONVEYS:

1. To A for the life of B, and then to the heirs of B. (B has one child at the time of the conveyance).

2. To A for life, and then to the children of B who survive B. (B has one child as of the conveyance).

3. To A, provided that you shall provide support for my children.

4. To A for life, and then to B for life. Upon B’s death to C, so long as D does not marry my daughter; then to D. (assume that A predeceases B for both situations)
   a. what if D never marries the daughter during B’s life?
   b. what if D does marry the daughter during B’s life?

5. To A, but if the Marlins win the World Series then to B.
   a. The Marlins win.
   b. The Marlins don’t win.

6. To A for life, and then to B, but if A now having one child is survived by any children to such surviving children.

7. To A for life, and then to B, if B supports A’s surviving children. A has 10 kids.
   a. state the title at the time of the conveyance.

8. To A for life, and then to B, when B is 21.
   a. A dies when B is 19.
   b. A dies when B is 22.

9. To A, provided that my business is maintained on the premises.

10. To A, until my business can no longer be maintained on the premises.

11. To A for life, and then to A’s children.
    a. A has no children
    b. A has one child
    c. assume that the rule in Shelly’s Case applies

FUTURE INTERESTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRESENT POSSESSORY INTERESTS</th>
<th>FUTURE INTEREST IN GRANTOR ONLY</th>
<th>FUTURE INTEREST IN THIRD PARTY ONLY</th>
<th>TRIGGER WORDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fee Simple Absolute</td>
<td>XXXXXXXXXX</td>
<td>XXXXXXXXXX</td>
<td>“to (person)”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Defeasible Fees: (“Defeatable”)

1. Fee Simple Determinable (future interest only in grantor)
   Possibility of Reverter (automatic) XXXXXXXXX
   “so long as” “while” “during”

2. Fee Simple Subject to Condition Subsequent (future interest only in grantor)
   Right of re-entry (not automatic) XXXXXXXXX
   “but if” “provided that” “on condition”

3. Fee Simple Subject to Executory Limitation (future interest only in 3rd party)
   XXXXXXXXX
   Executory Interests:
   1. Springing (only if it comes from grantor)
   2. Shifting (only if from anyone else but grantor)
   (same trigger words as for FSL & FSSLN - but future interest goes to 3rd party instead of grantor)

Life Estate
(if someone has estate for the life of someone else it is called life estate pro parte)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reversion</th>
<th>Remainder:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Vested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Contingent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“for the life of”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

YOU HAVE TO MEMORIZE THE FOLLOWING:

A Living Person Has No Heirs

What can only follow what?
1. Remainder can only follow a life estate. A life estate (if followed by a third party) can only be followed by a remainder.
2. An executory interest can only follow a fee simple subject to executory limitation. A fee simple subject to executory limitation can only be followed by an executory interest.

Difference between vested and contingent remainders:
Always think of two criteria:
1. People
2. Condition precedent

Vested (both 1 and 2 must be true):
1. People - always in an already ascertained person at least 1; and
2. Condition precedent - never a condition precedent

Contingent (either/or 1 or 2):
1. People - Unknown, unascertained, or unborn person; or
2. Condition precedent - if there is a condition precedent it must be a contingent remainder
Future Interest Tapes

1. Present Possessory Estates (Freehold Interests)
   a. Fee Simple Absolute
   b. Fee Tail
   c. Life Estate
   d. Defeasible Fees

2. Fee Simple Absolute
   a. Created: To A and his heirs. In common law the “magic words” “and his heirs” was required or it wouldn’t be a FSA. Now just “to A” works.
   b. Absolute Ownership of potentially unlimited duration.
   c. Freely inheritable
      i. Devisable (pass by will)
      ii. Descendible (passes by statutory laws of intestacy)
   d. Freely transferable inter vivos (can be sold or transferred during lifetime of the holder)
   e. No future interest accompanies FSA

   (Trick question - “to O and his heirs” - what does O have what do the heirs have? O has FSA as long as he is alive and heirs have nothing. A living person has not heirs.)

3. Fee Tail
   a. How created: To A and the heirs of his body. This type of estate is only historical now. Doesn’t exist today except in just a few states.
   b. Chief objective was to keep the land “all in the family.” It lasts only as long as there are blood descendants of the original grantee.
   c. Passed directly and automatically to lineal blood descendants.
   d. Future interest that accompanies this type of estate is back to O if the blood line runs dry, therefore a reversion.

4. Life Estate
   a. How created: must be measured by life and never by time. This is the romantic estate. “For the rest of your life” Measured in lifetime terms - never in years.
   b. To A for life. This is a lifetime estate. But if it says “to A for 100 years if she lives that long” - not a LE since it is not measured in years.
   c. Can be measurement of someone else’s life. To Madonna for the life of David Letterman. When David dies - Madonna’s rights to the estate are over - or - to Madonna for life and then she sells to David Letterman. David has a Life Estate per autre vie.
   d. Future interest that accompanies this estate: If Grantor - reversion. If to someone else - remainder.
   e. Life tenant has rights to all ordinary uses and profits from the land.
   f. Life Estater cannot commit Waste. Cannot do anything to work injury to future holder’s rights. The “hallmark” is Maintenance. Or else is liable. Life Tenant
should do more than and no less than is necessary to maintain the premises.

i. Voluntary of affirmative waste - actual overt conduct that works a diminution in value. Voluntary waste - Johnny Depp kicks in walls after fight with girlfriend - liable

ii. Permissive Waste - (neglect) When land is allowed to fall into disrepair. Life Tenant only needs to keep up with minimal repairs necessary to maintain the property. Forrest Gump is life tenant and there is a leak in the roof - only obliged to patch hole - not replace the whole roof. If over time his pattern of neglect or ignoring problem creates harm to property - then he is liable for permissive waste. Stupid is what stupid does. Keeping up with ordinary repairs - smartest thing.

iii. Ameliorative Waste - remember Maintenance is the Hallmark. Life Tenant must do no more than is necessary to maintain the premises. Can be liable for any acts taken that actually enhance the property's value. Cannot engage in any changes, improvements to premises even if enhance value. Hallmark is maintenance. Improvement or enhancement is ameliorative waste. More than mere maintenance.

5. Defeasible Fees

Potentially infinite duration but subject to be terminated upon happening of some stated event.

a. Fee simple determinable - an estate that automatically terminates upon the happening of some stated event.

i. How created: Express conveyance of a Fee Simple subject to a certain string or condition. Conveying fee simple unless and until some stated event happens.

(1) Magical words required are - to A so long as, to A unless and until, to A so long as the land is used for school purposes.

(2) FSD is devisable, Descendible, transferrable inter vivos.

(a) Problem is that no matter what you do with it it is always subject to the condition.

(i) Paul McCartney grants to Ringo so long as the premises are used as a recording studio. Ringo conveys to Mick Jagger with no mention of string. Mick tries to convert premises as a love nest for Jerry Hall. He can't without forfeiting the estate. Estate is subject to condition - subject to the sting. 

You can convey less than what you have - but you cannot convey more than what you have. “You can’t always get what you want”

(3) What is the future interest that accompanies every FSD?

(a) Possibility of reverter in the grantor.
Frank Sinatra conveys to Orville Redenbacher so long as popcorn is never manufactured on the premises. Orville has a FSD - Frank has a future interest known as possibility of reverter. These always accompany each other. Remember - FSDPOR - Frank Sinatra Doesn’t Prefer Orville Redenbacher (Fee Simple Determinable always accompanies POR - Possibility of Reverter).

b. Fee Simple Subject to Condition Subsequent.
   i. Estate that is not automatically terminated but can be cut short.
   ii. Can be divested at grantor’s option. At grantor’s prerogative if stated condition occurs.
   iii. How created: O to A but if alcohol is ever served on premises grantor reserves the right to re-enter and re-take. If alcohol is served estate does not automatically revert to O. It is O’s prerogative to re-enter and re-take later.
   iv. FSSCS is devisable, Descendible and transferrable inter vivos but always subject to condition.
   v. Future Interest that accompanies FSSCS: Only one - Power of Termination which is synonymous with Right of Re-Entry.
   vi. FSSCS must explicitly carve out the Power of Termination - Right of Re-Entry.

c. Fee Simple Subject to Executory Limitation
   i. Just like Fee Simple Determinable except if the condition is violated - the estate is automatically forfeited not to grantor but to someone other than the grantor. Works in favor of someone other than the grantor. To A but if X event occurs to B.
   (I) Grantor conveys cottage in New England to Barry Manilow but if music is ever performed on those premises then to Mandy. Barry has FSSEL subject to Mandy’s executory interest.
   ii. Future interest is an executory interest - in this case a shifting executory interest.

FUTURE INTERESTS:

There are 6 categories of future interests. Classified whether held by grantor or someone other than grantor.

1. 3 Future Interests in Grantor:
   a. Possibility of Reverter (only accompanies FSD)
   b. Right of Re-Entry aka Power of Termination (only accompanies FSSCS)
   c. Reversion (Has to be something other than FSD of FSSCS)
      i. Future interest that arises in grantor and only grantor who transfers a vested estate of lessor quantum than he started with
(1) O to A for life. O has a reversion. What about O to A for life then to B for 100 years? Still a reversion to grantor.

2. 3 Categories of Future Interests in Transferee (someone other than the grantor)
   a. **Remainder.** A future interest in a grantee (never a grantor) this is capable of becoming possessory upon the natural expiration of a prior estate created in the same conveyance.
      i. **Remainderman** - is gentle, kind, polite, patient. He never travels alone. Always the caboose after a prior estate of some naturally limited finite duration.
         (1) O to A for 50 years and then to B (B is remainderman)
         (2) **Never follows the defeasible fee.** (O to A, but if he doesn’t finish law school to B. This is not a remainder. O to A, but if B returns from Europe to B. This also is not a remainder) Remainderman waits gently and quietly awaits his turn. He waits for natural events to happen. Finite. He is passive. He waits. He gently and nicely takes. In the examples above - B is acting rudely - might pounce to interrupt the prior possessor’s rights. This is someone who holds and executory interest.

      ii. **2 Types of Remainders - Vested and Contingent**
          (1) **Vested**
              (a) Created in an already ascertained person
              (b) Not subject to any string (known as a condition precedent)
          (2) **3 Types of Vested Remainders:**
              (a) Indefeasibly Vested Remainder
                  (i) The best future interest one could hope for.
                  (ii) Holder is certain to acquire a possessory estate in the future.
                  (iii) Certain to be entitled to retain estate permanently
                       (To A for life and remainder to B. B has an indefeasibly vested remainder. No strings preceding or following his entitlement. What if B dies before A? This is fine because all vested remainders are transferrable, descendible and devisable. So if B dies before A his future interest still endures and passes by will or by intestate laws to B’s heirs)
              (b) **Vested Remainder Subject to Complete Defeasance.**
                  (i) Remainderman known - in existence.
                      Remainderman is not subject to condition precedent. However, remainderman is subject to complete defeasance - his right is capable of being cut short by some condition subsequent.

                      1) How do you know if it is a condition precedent or subsequent? Apply the comma rule. If the language of condition follows
This rule still applies in most states today. It pertains only to inter vivos conveyances of real and personal property which attempts to create a future interest in the grantor’s heirs. (O to A for life then to O’s heirs. O is still alive. With this doctrine it tells us that O’s heirs don’t have a contingent remainder - the attempted creation of contingent remainder in O’s heirs is void so long as O is alive and well. We are left with a life estate in A and O has a reversion. O and A could get together and convey a FSA in someone. This doctrine survives because it is a rule of construction and not a rule of law. This means the grantor’s intent controls. If we can determine that grantor full intends to bypass doctrine of worthier title - we will let him do that. “I wish for my heirs to have a contingent remainder” - we allow that to control - if that is grantor’s intentions.
Life Estate Problems

To A, then to B
A = FSA,
B = N
O = N

To A for life, and then to B
A = LE
B = vested remainder in FSA
O = N

To A for life, and then to B, so long as the premises are not used for the sale of liquor
A = LE
B = vested remainder subject to complete divestment
O = Rev in FSA

To A for life, then to B; but if at B's death he is not survived by any children, then to C
A = LE
B = vested remainder subject to complete investment
C = executory int. in FSA
O = N

To A for life, then to B, if B attains the age of 21
A = LE
B = contingent remainder in FSA
O = reversion

To A, my 18 year old son, if he attains the age of 21
A = springing interest in FSA
O = FS subject to exec. limitation

To A, provided that A does not divorce B
A = FS subject to condition subsequent
O = right of reentry in FS

To A for life, then to B; but if the property is not used as a church, then to C
A = LE
B = vested remainder subject to complete divestment
C = shifting exec. lim. in FSA
To A for life, then to B, but if A, who has two children, is survived at her death by any children, then to such surviving children.

A = LE
B = vested remainder subject to complete divestment
A's children = shifting executory interest in FSA
O = N
To A for life, then to B, if B gives A a proper burial
A = LE
B = springing executory interest in FSA
O = reversion in FS subject to executory limitation

To A for life, then to A's children
A = LE
A's children = vested remainder subject to partial divestment
O = N
If no children at time
A = LE
A's children = contingent remainder
O = reversion in FSA

To A for life, then to B, if B survives A
A = LE
B = contingent remainder (subject to con. prec.)
O = reversion in FSA

To A for life, then to B, if B survives A; and if B does not survive A, then to C
A = LE
B = contingent remainder
C = contingent remainder (alternative)
O = N

To A for life, then to B; but if B does not survive A, then to C
A = LE
B = vested remainder subject to complete divestment in FSA
C = shifting exec. interest in FSA
O = N

To A for life, then to B for life, then to C
A = LE
B = vested remainder in LE
C = vested remainder in FSA
O = N

To A for life, and A now having two children, to such of A's children who survive her, but if none of A's children survive her, then to B
A = LE
A's children = contingent remainder
B = contingent remainder
O = N
O to A for life, then to the first son of A who reaches 21 and his heirs
  *If A dies leaving a son (16), the contingent remainder is destroyed, O reversion becomes possessory, and after A’s death O has fee simple absolute

O to A for life, then to S and his heirs if S marries A and, if S fails to marry A, then B
  *A has life estate, S contingent remainder in FS, B alternative contingent remainder in FS, and O has a reversion

O to A for life, then to B and his heirs
  *B has a vested remainder in fee simple

O to A and heirs, as long as the land is used for a church
  *Church has fee simple determinable
  *O has possibility of reverter

O to A for life, then to B and his heirs if B marries C
  *If while A is still living and B is unmarried, never having married, O conveys reversion to A, then B’s interest vanishes. (B did not meet the the deadline for contingent remainder.. destruction of contingent remainder by merger)
  *A has fee simple absolute and O’s reversion is destroyed

O to W for life, then to A and his heirs if A survives W, and if A fails to survive W, then to B and his heirs
  1) W, A, and B are still living
     *W= life estate
     *A= contingent remainder in Fee simple
     *B= alternative contingent remainder in fee simple
     *O= reversion
  2.) B dies leaving a sole heir, D
     * no change except D has B’s alternative contingent remainder in fee
  3.) W dies
     *A= Fee simple Absolute
  4.) A dies leaving a sole heir, E
     * E= fee simple absolute

O to A and the heirs of his body, then to the firstborn son of B and his heirs
  1) O, A, and B are still living; neither A nor B have children. A and B are both married
     *A= fees simple absolute
     *Firstborn son of B= contingent remainder in fee simple
     * O = reversion
  2) A has son , C. A’s wife dies in childbirth
     * no change
  3) B has a son, D
*A = fee tail
*D = vested remainder in fee simple

4) B dies
* no change
5) A dies never having married again, and with a will leaving all his property to E and his heirs
*C = fee tail
*D = vested remainder in fee simple
*E = nothing

O to A for life, remainder to the children of A who survive A and their heirs

1) A is living but has no children
* A = life estate; there is a contingent remainder in favor of the children of A who survive A
*O = reversion
2) A has a child X
* no change
3) A has a child, Y
* no change
4) X dies leaving H as his heir
* no change, H inherits nothing

5) A dies
* Y = fee simple absolute

O to A for life, then to B and his heirs so long as liquor is not sold on the premises

1) O, A, and B are still living
* O = possibility of reverter (if liquor is sold on premises)
* A = life estate
* B = vested remainder in fee simple determinable
2) A dies
* O = possibility of reverter
* B = fee simple determinable
3) O dies leaving his heir D
* No change except D has O's possibility of reverter
4) B had to open liquor on premises
* D = fee simple absolute
ANSWERS:

1. O: reversion in FSA if B dies without heirs  
   A: life estate per autre vie (for the life of B)  
   B's heirs: contingent remainder in FSA

2. O: reversion in FSA if B dies without children  
   A: life estate  
   B's children: contingent remainder in FSA

3. a. A: Fee Simple On Condition Subsequent  
    O: right of re-entry  
    b. if O exercises his right he will obtain an FSA

4. A: life estate  
   B: life estate  
   C: on B’s death FS subject to executory limitation  
   D: shifting executory interest

5. A: FS subject to executory limitation  
   B: shifting executory interest

6. A: life estate  
   B: vested remainder subject to complete divestment  
   C: shifting executory interest in surviving children

7. A: life estate  
   B: vested remainder subject to complete divestment
   O: reversion

8. A: life estate  
   B: contingent remainder (In Texas the contingent remainder is destroyed, or B has springing executory interest)  
   Could argue both based on language
9.
A: Fee simple determinable
O: possibility of reverter

10:
A: Fee simple determinable
O: possibility of reverter

11.
(a) A: life estate
   O: reversion
   Children: contingent remainder

(b) A: life estate
   Child: vested remainder subject to partial divestment
   O: nothing

c) A: life estate + vested remainder in FSA (Shelby's)

Merger then applies to say

Life Estate + Vested Remainder in FSA

= FSA in A.
Future Interest Problems

Directions: In each of the following problems, state the title of each party immediately after the transfer becomes effective. Assume that immediately prior to the transfer, "O", the grantor-transferor, holds title in Fee Simple Absolute. Assume further, that all transfers are modern and valid at the time made and not subject to failure. Be sure to include "O" when you state the interests of the parties.

O CONVEYS:

1. To A for the life of B, and then to the heirs of B. (B has one child at the time of the conveyance).

2. To A for life, and then to the children of B who survive B. (B has one child as of the conveyance).

3. To A, provided that you shall provide support for my children.

4. To A for life, and then to B for life. Upon B's death to C, so long as D does not marry my daughter; then to D. (assume that A predeceases B for both situations)
   a. what if D never marries the daughter during B's life? 
   b. what if D does marry the daughter during B's life?

5. To A, but if the Marlins win the World Series then to B.
   a. The Marlins win.
   b. The Marlins don't win.

6. To A for life and then to B, but if A now having one child is survived by any children, to such surviving children.

7. To A for life, and then to B, if B supports A's surviving children. A has 10 kids.
   a. state the title at the time of the conveyance.

8. To A for life, and then to B, when B is 21.
   a. A dies when B is 19.
   b. A dies when B is 22.

9. To A, provided that my business is maintained on the premises.

10. To A, until my business can no longer be maintained on the premises.

11. To A for life, and then to A's children.
   a. A has no children
   b. A has one child
ANSWERS:

1. O: reversion in FSA if B dies without heirs
   A: life estate per autre vie (for the life of B)
   B’s heirs: contingent remainder in FSA

2. O: reversion in FSA if B dies without children
   A: life estate
   B’s children: contingent remainder in FSA

3. a. A: Fee Simple On Condition Subsequent
       O: right of re-entry

b. if O exercises his right he will obtain an FSA

4. A: life estate
   B: life estate
   C: on B’s death FS subject to executory limitation
   D: shifting executory interest

5. A: FS subject to executory limitation
   B: shifting executory interest

6. A: life estate
   B: vested remainder subject to complete divestment
   C: shifting executory interest in surviving children

7. A: life estate
   B: vested remainder subject to complete divestment
   O: reversion

8. A: life estate
   B: contingent remainder (In Texas the contingent remainder is destroyed, or B has springing executory interest)

   could argue both based on language
9. 
A: FS on condition subsequent
O: right of re-entry

10: 
A: Fee simple determinable
O: possibility of reverter

11. 
A: life estate
4) A: life estate
O: reversion
Children: contingent remainder

5) A: life estate
Child: vested remainder subject to partial divestment
O: nothing

c) A: Life estate + Vested remainder in FSA (Shelly's)


Merges then applies to say

Life Estate + Vested remainder in FSA

= FSA in A.
RAP
(RULE AGAINST PERPETUITIES)

Future interest or condition precedent to vesting must be certain to occur or not to occur within 21 years after the death of some measuring life ("life in being") or else conveyance is void.

What interests RAP never applies to:

1. Present possessory interests
2. Future interests in a grantor (there are 3 - POR, Right or Re-entry, Reversion)
3. Vested remainders (except sometimes to vested remainders subject to open - if conveyance to open class is conditioned on the members surviving to an age beyond 21)

What interests RAP can apply to:

1. Contingent remainders
2. Executory remainders (shifting ones almost always violate RAP)
3. Vested remainders subject to open (only sometimes - if conveyance to open class is conditioned on the members surviving to an age beyond 21)

So - if you see a CR or Ex or VR subject to open - you are on RAP Alert!!!

Measuring Life (Life in Being):

Person(s) alive at the time of the conveyance whose life or death is relevant to the conditions occurring. If it is a class then the class cannot be open (must be closed) at time of creation of conveyance or else it can't be the measuring lives. It must not be possible for more members to be added to the class after the conveyance.

FOUR PRONG TEST:

1. Classify the future interest and determine if it is of the type that can or cannot violate RAP
2. Identify the conditions precedent to vesting.
3. Look for a measuring life.
4. Ask your self if when the measuring life person(s) dies - will we know for certain within 21 years whether future interest will or will not vest?

Reform statutes:

1. Cy Pres - makes the conveyance work.
2. Wait and See - waits to see if it will vest within 21 years after death of measuring life.
“BAD BOY” CONTINGENT REMAINDERS:

**Rule of Destructibility** (This rule is virtually abolished now)

*A contingent remainder is void if still contingent when the preceding estate is terminated.*

Example: To A for life, then if he turns 21, to B.

(If B was not yet 21 when A died - the rule voided B’s interests and the estate went back to O)

Modern day: Rule not applied and B’s interest would endure. Upon A’s death - estate would go to O as a FSSEL and wait as a springing executory interest in B until he turns 21 at which time he gets a FSA.

**Rule in Shelley’s Case** (Used in Texas only up until 9/64)

*If, in the same instrument, you try to create a life estate in A and the remainder in A’s heirs, then present and future interests merge and create a FSA in A instead of a life estate.*

Example: To A for life, and then to A’s heirs.

Modern day: Conveyance is allowed - A’s heirs have a contingent remainder as long as A is alive.

(But, remember that a living person has no heirs - so they are undetermined until A’s death).

**Doctrine of Worthier Title** (Still applies in most states today)

*An inter vivos conveyances of real property that tries to create a contingent remainder in O’s heirs while O is still alive.*

Example: To A for life, and then to O’s heirs.

Attempted creation of CR while O is alive is void. Result is a life estate in A and O has a reversion.

Modern day: Doctrine applies as above **but** if it can be determined that grantor fully intends to bypass this doctrine, then it is **allowed**. (But, remember that a living person has no heirs - so they are undetermined until O’s death).