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EXAM NUMBER
Part I
(25 Direct Questions – 2% each: total – 50%)

1. What is the general rule for notice with regard to a cotenant running adverse possession against his or her cotenant?

2. Give the two main views concerning “claim of right” where possession of land is taken under a mistake.

3. Explain the difference between a “real covenant” and a “personal covenant” in covenants for title.

4. Give an example of the breach of a covenant of seisin.

5. Give two of the three general rules concerning the duty to search the land records with regard to a chain of title.
6. Under the general rule, when is a deed considered recorded?

7. May adverse possession run against a future interest? Explain.

8. Explain the difference between “race” and “race-notice” recording systems.

9. Why would a prior equitable interest (e.g. constructive trust) prevail over a subsequent legal lien holder who is in good faith without notice of the prior interest?

10. What are the three remedies available to a court in a judgment finding a BFP in an installment land contract where only a part of the money had been paid when the buyer became aware of a superior interest.
11. What requirements are absolutely essential for the validity of a deed to transfer land?

12. Name four ways in which tacking of adverse possession between successive claimants may be done.

13. Name two ways in which time may be made "of the essence" in a contract for the sale of land.

14. What are the general requirements in Texas for an oral deed to be enforceable in equity?

15. Generally are there any implied covenants in a contract to convey land? Explain.

16. What is the Common Law rule concerning adjoining landowners and surface water?
17. Define “touch and concern the land” in a restrictive covenants setting.

18. What are the elements for a restrictive covenant to run with the land in Equity?

19. In Texas what is the nature of the State’s title in navigable streambeds?

20. Give an example of a “quasi easement.”

21. Explain the concept of an easement appurtenant.

22. What is the Common Law doctrine of “strip and gore?”
23. What is the difference between an "easement in gross" and a "license?"

24. What is the "equity of redemption" in a title theory mortgage?

25. How may a life tenant run adverse possession against the remainderman?

Part II
(Two Essay Question -- Total 50%)

1. On April 1, 2003, X, the owner in fee simple of Blackacre (B/A) in Bexar County, Texas, entered into a verbal agreement with A, that he would convey B/A to A by proper deed upon payment of $5,000. To firm the deal, A made out and signed his check in the amount of $1,000, payable to X. The check contained the notation, "Down on B/A; balance of $4,000 due at closing." X immediately endorsed the check on the back and cashed it at the corner grocery store. The following day X took a properly executed and acknowledged deed to A and demanded the $4,000. A stated he needed 10 days to get the money. X and A then agreed that they would take the deed to the bank, and the bank would hold the deed until the money was paid and then hand over the deed
to A. This would allow X to go to Europe as he planned. The bank agreed and took the deed.

On April 4, A persuaded the bank to allow him to take the deed to his attorney for inspection. It was clearly understood that A would take the deed to his attorney and return with it directly. Instead, A went directly to the court house, recorded the deed, and then executed, had acknowledged, and handed to B a deed to B/A. B, completely unaware of the agreement between A and X, paid $10,000 cash.

On April 10, X returned from Europe. Upon visiting the bank he was advised of what had happened. The bank further advised that they were reliably informed that someone had seen A boarding an airliner for London.

On April 15, C arrived in town from London carrying an instrument entitled: “Transfer and assignment of all right in the purchase contract and deed between X and A on B/A held by bank.” It was executed and acknowledged by A. C immediately went to the bank, put down $4,000 and demanded the deed from X to A.

Discuss and resolve all issues raised by the facts with legal reasoning.

2.

X went into possession of Blackacre (B/A), a tract of land in Anytown, USA, in 1990, thinking that he owned it. In 1999, X subdivided the tract into 4 lots (lot 1; lot 2; lot 3; lot 4) and had the plat approved and recorded. He built a residence on each of lots 1-2 and 3. He then conveyed lot 1 to A for $50,000 by Quitclaim Deed. He conveyed lot 2 to B by a General Warranty Deed for $60,000. He conveyed lot 3 to C for $70,000 by a deed which used the words “sell and convey” in the granting clause but did not contain any express covenants for title. In each of these deeds he also inserted the following provision:

“Only single family residences, occupied by a single family as a home shall be permitted on each lot.”

A and B immediately moved into their homes. C however remodeled lot 3 into a duplex and leased one of the apartments to D and family and the other to E and family. A and B noticed the remodeling but said nothing.

In 2002 X sold lot 4 to F but without any restrictions concerning the lot. F immediately
began to build a funeral parlor.

In 2003, A contracted to sell lot 1 to G for $100,000. Even before receiving a deed, G began to reconstruct the residence into an antique shop.

In 2003, H who owned a tract of landlocked land (W/A) behind lot 3, demanded from C that a road be opened across lot 3 for access to the street. It appears that at one time W/A and lot 3 had been a single tract of land.

In 2003, in building the funeral parlor, F excavated a large hole on the boundary line with lot 3. The following day, a heavy rain caused the soil from lot 3 to slide into the hole and to cause the foundation of the duplex to shift with damage to the structure.

On April 1, 2003, X filed suit against A, C, D, E, F and G, to enforce the covenant. The following day B joined in the suit with X.

On April 15, 2003, Y, after an extended stay in Europe, arrived in Anytown to claim B/A which he inherited when his mentally incapacitated uncle died in 1993. He joined in the law suit claiming title against all of the parties to the suit.

Discuss and resolve all issues raised by the facts with legal reasoning.